How the Resist-Accept-Direct (RAD) framework clarifies the challenge of modern natural resource management and supports strategic, forwardlooking action



NC CASC webinar 8 July 2021

Gregor Schuurman, PhD NPS Climate Change Response Program





# Natural resource focus, but broad relevance



# This is a heavy topic





## 



## 



## 











Cli

NPS.gov

Cli

Badlands Nati

to range freely

NPS Image by

Fall foliage brings brig

NPS Image Courtesy

Implications of Climate-Resource Scenarios for Badlands National Park Resource Management Badlands National Park hosts a myriad of natural and cultural resources, including

> Climate Change Scenario Planning for Resource Stewardship: Applying a Novel Approach in Devils Tower National Monument, 2020

> > Climate Change Scenario Planning to Guide Research and Resource Management at White Sands National Park, 2021

## Implications of Climate Change for the Water Supply of the Chisos Mountains Developed Area, 2019

Groundwater discharged from Oak Spring currently serves as the sole water source for the Chisos Basin--a hub of visitor infrastructure and services within Big Bend National Park. Given a known link between precipitation and spring discharge, the NPS undertook an analysis to clarify which strategies and investments might be best at Oak Spring given climate change projections, or whether the park should seek to develop an alternative water source. The **resulting report** [5 MB PDF] helps clarify the relationship between Oak Spring and contemporary climate conditions, and uses projected future climate to assess long-term reliability of discharge from the spring.



Chisos Basin including Ward Mountain and the flow path towards Oak Spring

NPS Image Courtesy Max Woolley



2013 **Using Scenarios** to **Explore Climate Change:** A Handbook for Practitioners The set the ÷ July 2013

2014



"Manage for change, not just persistence"

"Reconsider goals and actions"









2014 2020 2013 **Climate-Smart** Conservation Resist-Accept-Direct (RAD)-A Framework for the **Using Scenarios** 21st-century Natural Resource Manager Putting Adaptation Principles into Practice to **Explore** Natural Resource Report NPS/NRSS/CCRP/NRR-2020/ 2213 **Climate Change:** A Handbook for Practitioners The set is a ÷  $\leq r$ 🍱 💗 🛐 鼶 💙 ZUSGS &EPA 🔝 July 2013 "Manage for change, not just persistence" Accept Resist "Reconsider goals and actions" Direct



## 







## What is the RAD framework?

## Resist

Work to maintain or restore ecosystem processes, function, structure, or composition based upon historical or acceptable current conditions

## Accept

To allow ecosystem processes, function, structure, or composition to change, without intervening to alter their trajectory

## Direct

Actively shape ecosystem processes, function, structure, or composition towards preferred new conditions



Definitions from Schuurman et al. (in press); design adapted from Thompson et al. 2020

# How does the RAD framework support natural resource management decision making?

- Focuses on manager intent
- Identifies manager actions
- Encompasses all possible options
- Simple
- Neutral (toolkit)
- Works across time and space
- Complements other approaches





## Where does the RAD framework come from?







## 











Natural Resource Stewardship and Science

## Resource Management and Operations in Central North Dakota

Climate Change Scenario Planning Workshop Summary November 12-13, 2015, Bismarck, ND Natural Resource Report NPS/NRSS/NRR—2016/1262











Environmental Management (2016) 57:753–758 DOI 10.1007/s00267-015-0650-6

FORUM

## Is 'Resilience' Maladaptive? Towards an Accurate Lexicon for Climate Change Adaptation

Nicholas A. Fisichelli<sup>1</sup> · Gregor W. Schuurman<sup>1</sup> · Cat Hawkins Hoffman<sup>1</sup>





## The George Wright Forum

The GWS Journal of Parks, Protected Areas & Cultural Sites volume 25 number 1 • 2008 "In short, it is increasingly clear that naturalness is no longer the umbrella under which all protected area values comfortably sit.

D. Cole, et. al. 2008

"...new concepts are needed to guide management... concepts that account for human impacts, global change, and evolving public

G. Aplet, D. Cole, 2010



Rethinking Park and Wilderness Stewardship in an Era of Rapid Change

"In short, it is increasingly clear that naturalness is no longer the umbrella under which all protected area values comfortably sit.

# Resist-Accept-Guide



## The George Wright Forum

The GWS Journal of Parks, Protected Areas & Cultural Sites volume 25 number 1 • 2008 "...new concepts are needed to guide management... concepts that account for human impacts, global change, and evolving public

G. Aplet, D. Cole, 2010

in an Era of Rapid Change

Naturalness

**Rethinking Park and Wilderness Stewardship** 

Edited by David N. Cole and Laurie Yung









"existing agency guidance does not anticipate rapid, directional, transformative ecological changes that are currently underway."







"develop a shared science-based framework from which land management entities may derive guidance for managing changing conditions, including wide-ranging changes that may result in ecological transformation of ecosystems - while considering how each entity's parcels fit into the overall system."







## WHEN RESISTANCE IS FUTILE: ADAPTATION IN THE FACE OF SYSTEM TRANSFORMATION (Meeting Room 5)

Symposium Organizer: Bruce Stein, National Wildlife Federation

Accelerating climate change is already beginning to transform the structure, composition and function of ecosystems, with attendant consequences for the services and benefits these systems provide to people. Unfortunately, much of the climate adaptation currently underway still focuses on efforts to resist change as a means of retaining the persistence of current conditions. Natural resource managers increasingly will be confronted by situations where such persistenceoriented approaches are untenable: in other words, when resistance is futile. This symposium will focus on adaptation in the context of change management, and specifically the challenges of preparing for and adapting to system realignments and transformations. The session will address the conceptual basis for transformation-oriented adaptation, including the challenges of identifying ecological thresholds and tipping points, and the cyclical nature of managing for persistence and change. Symposia talks will also review the historical context for ecosystem transformation, drawing lessons from the paleo record and major ecological transitions in the past. Finally, the symposium will focus on a system undergoing major ecological transformations, and explore various management options for responding to, or even facilitating, such transitions, along with policy issues that may constrain or promote such change-oriented responses.



INCREASINGLY DIFFICULT AND PERHAPS SOMETIMES FUTILE WHEN RESISTANCE IS FUTILE: ADAPTATION IN THE FACE OF SYSTEM TRANSFORMATION (Meeting Room 5)

Symposium Organizer: Bruce Stein, National Wildlife Federation

Accelerating climate change is already beginning to transform the structure, composition and function of ecosystems, with attendant consequences for the services and benefits these systems provide to people. Unfortunately, much of the climate adaptation currently underway still focuses on efforts to resist change as a means of retaining the persistence of current conditions. Natural resource managers increasingly will be confronted by situations where such persistenceoriented approaches are untenable: in other words, when resistance is futile. This symposium will focus on adaptation in the context of change management, and specifically the challenges of preparing for and adapting to system realignments and transformations. The session will address the conceptual basis for transformation-oriented adaptation, including the challenges of identifying ecological thresholds and tipping points, and the cyclical nature of managing for persistence and change. Symposia talks will also review the historical context for ecosystem transformation, drawing lessons from the paleo record and major ecological transitions in the past. Finally, the symposium will focus on a system undergoing major ecological transformations, and explore various management options for responding to, or even facilitating, such transitions, along with policy issues that may constrain or promote such change-oriented responses.

#### FedNET

Last Name	First Name	Organization
Bamzai	Aparna	USGS
Carr	Wylie	NPS Climate Change Response Program
Clifford	Katie	USGS
Cole	David	retired, Leopold Wilderness Ctr
Covington	Scott	USFWS
Crausbay	Shelley	NC Climate Adaptation Science Ctr
Cravens	Amanda	USGS
Hawkins Hoffman	Cat	NPS Climate Change Response Program
Hoang	Linh	USFS
Hudson	Mike	USFWS
Jackson	Steve	USGS
Lawrence	Dave	NPS Climate Change Response Program
Magness	Dawn	USFWS
Morrison	Wendy	NOAA/NMFS
Morton	John	retired; USFWS
O'Malley	Robin	retired; USGS
Peterson	Dave	retired, USFS
Peterson	Jay	NOAA
Prentice	Karen	BLM
Reynolds	Joel	NPS Climate Change Response Program
Rittenhouse	Bruce	BLM
Ross-Winslow	Danielle	USFWS Social Science
Schuurman	Gregor	NPS Climate Change Response Program
Stephenson	Nate	retired; USGS



#### NEWS RELEASE

Resist-Accept-Direct (RAD)

A Framework for the 21st-century Natural Resource Manager



Cole et al. (2011) foresee "the future elimination of Joshua tree throughout most of the southern portions of its current range," thus invalidating a past premise of stability of the Joshua tree as a climax species.

NPS / Emily Hassell

News Release Date: January 19, 2021

#### Contact: Jeff Olson

The National Park Service and several federal land management agency partners recently published a report titled **Resist-Accept**-**Direct (RAD)**—A Decision Framework for the 21st-century Natural Resource Manager. The report presents and explores a simple set of distinct management options that decision makers can consider when responding to ecosystems facing the potential for rapid, irreversible ecological change. In so doing, the report provides a framework that encourages natural resource managers to consider strategic, forward-looking actions, rather than structure management goals based on past conditions.





FedNET

## TWS-AFS Ecosystem Transformation working group (2018 onward)





## FedNET-TWS/AFS collaboration

## Federal Navigating Ecological Transformation Working Group



### FedNET

Last Name	First Name	Organization
Bamzai	Aparna	USGS
Carr	Wylie	NPS Climate Change Response Program
Clifford	Katie	USGS
Cole	David	retired, Leopold Wilderness Ctr
Covington	Scott	USFWS
Crausbay	Shelley	NC Climate Adaptation Science Ctr
Cravens	Amanda	USGS
Hawkins Hoffman	Cat	NPS Climate Change Response Program
Hoang	Linh	USFS
Hudson	Mike	USFWS
Jackson	Steve	USGS
Lawrence	Dave	NPS Climate Change Response Program
Magness	Dawn	USFWS
Morrison	Wendy	NOAA/NMFS
Morton	John	retired; USFWS
O'Malley	Robin	retired; USGS
Peterson	Dave	retired, USFS
Peterson	Jay	NOAA
Prentice	Karen	BLM
Reynolds	Joel	NPS Climate Change Response Program
Rittenhouse	Bruce	BLM
Ross-Winslow	Danielle	USFWS Social Science
Schuurman	Gregor	NPS Climate Change Response Program
Stephenson	Nate	retired; USGS

Americar Fisheries Society	Wildlife Society		
	Last name	First name	Organization
	Beever	Erik	USGS
	Clifford	Michael	The Nature Conservancy
	Engman	Gus	University of Tennessee
	Falke	Jeff	USGS; University of Alaska - Fairbanks
	Jackson	Steve	USGS
	Krabbenhoft	Trevor	University of Buffalo
	Lawrence	David	NPS Climate Change Response Program
	Limpinsel	Doug	NOAA
	Lynch	Abby	USGS
	Magill	Rob	Independent consultant
	Melvin	Tracy	Michigan State University
	Morton	John	retired, USFWS
	Newman	Robert	University of North Dakota
	Peterson	Jay	NOAA
	Porath	Mark	Nebraska Game and Parks Commission
	Rahel	Frank	University of Wyoming
	Sethi	Suresh	USGS; Cornell University
	Thompson	Laura	USGS
	Wilkening	Jennifer	USFWS



# Rapidly expanding body of **RAD** literature...



### Responding to Ecosystem Transformation: Resist, Accept, or Direct?

Lans A. Thompson () U.G. Genigati Javon, Iwan Beart Margal 2007 () Construction of the sector of the sector of the method for sector of the se

Thompson et al. 2020



Schuurman et al. 2020

#### Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment

Concepts and Questions 🖞 Open Access 🐵 🖲 🕤 😒

Managing for RADical ecosystem change: applying the Resist-Accept-Direct (RAD) framework

Abigail J Lynch 🕿 Laura M Thompson, Erik A Beever, David N Cole, Augustin C Engman, Cat Hawkins Hoffman, Stephen T Jackson, Trevor J Krabbenhoft, David J Lawrence **... See all authors** 💛

First published: 08 July 2021 | https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2377

SECTIONS

🏂 PDF 🔧 TOOLS < SHARE

#### Abstract

Ecosystem transformation involves the emergence of persistent ecological or socialecological systems that diverge, dramatically and irreversibly, from prior ecosystem structure and function. Such transformations are occurring at increasing rates across the planet in response to changes in climate, land use, and other factors. Consequently, a dynamic view of ecosystem processes that accommodates rapid, irreversible change will be critical for effectively conserving fish, wildlife, and other natural resources, and maintaining ecosystem services. However, managing ecosystems toward states with novel structure and function is an inherently unpredictable and difficult task. Managers navigating ecosystem transformation can benefit from considering broader objectives, beyond a traditional focus on *resisting* ecosystem change, by also considering whether *accepting* inevitable change or *directing* it along some desirable pathway is more feasible (that is, practical and appropriate) under some circumstances (the RAD framework). By explicitly acknowledging transformation and implementing an iterative RAD approach, natural resource managers can be deliberate and strategic in addressing profound ecosystem change.

Lynch et al. 2021 Published online today

# Rapidly expanding body of **RAD** literature...



36
# Why is the RAD framework needed?





# Why is the RAD framework needed?

# Answer: to help managers make unavoidable choices strategically





How climate change drives ecological change and transformations



Time



\* "the idea that natural systems fluctuate within an unchanging envelope of variability" (Milly et al. 2008. Stationarity is dead: Whither water management? Science 319: 573—574)



Time



How climate change drives ecological change and transformations



42

How climate change drives ecological change and transformations

# Drought is here to stay in the Western U.S. How will states adapt?

Drought "is not a temporary condition we can expect to go away, but rather something we have to deal with," one expert said.

Megadrought in western U.S. pushing Lake Mead to first-time water shortage



....

How climate change drives ecological change and transformations



Colorado's East Troublesome Fire jumped the Continental Divide on Oct. 22, 2020, and eventually became Colorado's second-largest fire on record. Lauren Dauphin/NASA Earth Observatory



44 https://theconversation.com/rocky-mountain-forests-burning-more-now-than-any-time-in-the-past-2-000-years-162383

How climate change drives ecological change and transformations

# Rocky Mountain subalpine forests now burning more than any time in recent millennia

Philip E. Higuera<sup>a,1</sup>, Bryan N. Shuman<sup>b</sup>, and Kyra D. Wolf<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Ecosystem and Conservation Sciences, University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812; and <sup>b</sup>Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

45 Higuera, P.E., Shuman, B.N. and Wolf, K.D., 2021. Rocky Mountain subalpine forests now burning more than any time in recent millennia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118(25).

1.2 °C above 67 years expected 1°C 20<sup>th</sup> century between fires: warmer Northern Hemisphere Medieval emperature average 2010-2020 Climate 0.5 °C Anomaly warmer 20<sup>th</sup> century Annual average 117 years expected between fires: 0.5 °C 2000-2020 cooler "Little Ice Subalpine Forest Wildfire Activity 1984-2020 Age 230 years between fires: historical average 1400 1600 1800 2000 200 1000 600 800 1200 Year

How climate change drives ecological change and transformations

Historically, fires burned in the subalpine central Rockies every 230 years, on average. That has increased significantly in the 21st century. Philip Higuera

https://theconversation.com/rocky-mountain-forests-burning-more-now-than-any-time-in-the-past-2-000-years-162383

46

How climate change drives ecological change and transformations



Time

\* Ecological transformation: "the dramatic and effectively irreversible shift in multiple ecological characteristics of an ecosystem, the basis of which is a high degree of turnover in ecological communities" (Schuurman etal. *in press*)



48





Staudinger et al. 2012. Impacts of Climate Change on Biodiversity, Ecosystems, and Ecosystem Services: Technical Input to the 2013 National Climate Assessment. Cooperative Report to the 2013 National 50 Climate Assessment.





Potential responses to ecological transformation on the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge





Potential responses to ecological transformation on the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge

Q: Can the change be reversed or resisted?



Potential responses to ecological transformation on the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge

Q: Can the change be reversed or resisted?

A: Think about scale, directional climate change, and ecological uncertainty.



Potential responses to ecological transformation on the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge

Q: Can the change be reversed or resisted?

A: Think about scale, directional climate change, and ecological uncertainty.







Potential responses to ecological transformation on the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge

Q: Can the change be reversed or resisted?

A: Think about scale, directional climate change, and ecological uncertainty.









Potential responses to ecological transformation on the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge

Q: What happens if we accept the trajectory? Where is it going?







Potential responses to ecological transformation on the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge

Q: Can we try to direct this ecological trajectory?



Potential responses to ecological transformation on the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge



Potential responses to ecological transformation on the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge

A: Yes, in fact, neighbors are already doing some of this.

**INTRODUCED GRAZERS** 

Potential responses to ecological transformation on the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge Lutz Spruce forest DECREASING UNCERTAINTY BUT REDUCED **OPPORTUNITY TO STEWARD THE OUTCOME** POLE PINE What's a manager to do? BLACK-TAILED DEER BIODIVERSITY **CURRENT TRAJECTORY (ACCEPT)** Bluejoint grassland And I AND FAMILY INTRODUCED GRAZERS GRASS PRESCRIBED FIRE TIME

# What does RAD framework application look like?



#### U.S Fish and Wildlife Service

# National Conservation Training Center

Training Announcement

#### Thinking About Adaptation: Exploring the Resist-Accept-Direct Framework



#### **Case Studies and Panel Discussion**

Date June 22, 2021 2:00-3:30 PM EST

#### Who Should Attend

The course is highly recommended to managers, biologists, other natural resource professionals who are interested in responding to climate change.

Tuition There is no cost to attend.

To Join https://livestream.com/nctc

Contact Danielle Bruce, danielle\_bruce@fws.gov

https://fws.rev.vbrick.com/#/videos/3d468dcb-47bf-4f43-8cc8-efeceoda832a



Building up a portion of marsh at Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge with sediment from the Blackwater River. (Photo: Middleton Evans)

#### Marsh Management

The overarching purpose of marsh management is to develop and promote strategies for tidal marsh adaptation to sea level rise. Blackwater NWR is a Refuge at risk. Since the 1930s, over 8,000 acres of marsh have been lost at Blackwater. That's a rate of 150 acres lost per year. Causes of marsh loss include sea level rise, erosion, subsidence, salt water intrusion and invasive species. The marsh's natural ability to build elevation cannot keep up with sea level rise.

Ongoing efforts to save the marsh include:

- · Reducing the population of resident Canada geese, which devour newly-planted crops and marsh plants
- Restoration and protection of brackish marsh Habitat
- Blackwater River thin layer spraying project
- Shoreline stabilization and marsh enhancement
- Use of on-site material for marsh restoration
- · Acquisition/protection of priority marsh areas and adjacent upland buffers
- Nutria Eradication Program
- Phragmites control
- Facilitate migration of marsh habitats
- Reducing saltwater intrusion





https://www.fws.gov/refuges/wildlife-conservation/climate-change.html





Removing trees to promote marsh growth, Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge. (Photo: Erik J. Meyers/The Conservation Fund)

#### Marsh Management

The overarching purpose of marsh management is to develop and promote strategies for tidal marsh adaptation to sea level rise. Blackwater NWR is a Refuge at risk. Since the 1930s, over 8,000 acres of marsh have been lost at Blackwater. That's a rate of 150 acres lost per year. Causes of marsh loss include sea level rise, erosion, subsidence, salt water intrusion and invasive species. The marsh's natural ability to build elevation cannot keep up with sea level rise.

#### igoing efforts to save the marsh include:

- Reducing the population of resident Canada geese, which devour newly-planted crops and marsh plants
- · Restoration and protection of brackish marsh Habitat
- Blackwater River thin layer spraying project
- Shoreline stabilization and marsh enhancement
- Use of on-site material for marsh restoration
- · Acquisition/protection of priority marsh areas and adjacent upland buffers
- Nutria Eradication Program
- Phragmites control
- Facilitate migration of marsh habitats
- Reducing saltwater intrusion



https://www.fws.gov/refuges/wildlife-conservation/climate-change.html



Fire fighter Luis Magana stands guard at General Grant tree at Grant Grove in Kings Canyon National Park, California. Photograph: Gary Kazanjian/AP



69















Direct
#### RAD natural resource management in action





Fisheries biologist Jon McCubbins releases the first juvenile bull trout from 2014 into Logging Creek upstream of Grace Lake — the new bull trout Shangri-La. Courtesy of Chris Downa/National Park Service





73

#### RAD natural resource management in action





Accept

#### RAD natural resource management in action



#### **Climate Change Adaptation & Mitigation Plan**

#### Fisheries:

To adapt to climate change, the tribe has shifted management of a 61-acre inland lake from a cold water (brook trout) fishery to a cool water fishery (yellow perch and walleye) through fish propagation and stocking. This occurred because warming temperatures in the lake reached critical lethal levels for brook trout causing complete collapse of the population. The Grand Portage Natural Resources Department adapted to the fishery collapse by choosing to develop a cool water fishery using yellow perch and walleye.



https://www7.nau.edu/itep/main/tcc/Tribes/gl\_gpchippewa



### What next?



### What next?

## Scaling up



U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

### Climate Change



National Wildlife Refuge System

Home About Lands and Waters Planning Visit Learn Wildlife Conservation Policies & Budget Get Involved News Services



#### A Response Framework

The Resist-Accept-Direct framework allows managers to choose from three management responses:

- **Resist** the direction of change, by working to maintain or restore function, structure or composition, based on historical or acceptable current conditions. To **resist** means to return a system to its historical condition.
- Accept the direction of change, by allowing the change to occur without intervening. To **accept** is to allow nature to change conditions without any management response.
- **Direct** the change, by actively shaping managing processes, function, structure or composition toward a new desired condition. To **direct** is to take management actions to forcefully move a system toward some condition that humans find desirable.

<u>Landscapes in Flux</u> | <u>Managing for Change</u> | <u>A Response Framework</u> | <u>Case Study: Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge</u> | <u>Resist-Accept-Direct Resources</u> | <u>Other Agencies Addressing Climate Change</u>



#### 2014



#### 2020

 

Bitsel Park Servic 12. Department of the Interior
Image: Comparison of Comparison







#### Responding to Ecosystem Transformation: Resist, Accept, or Direct?

Laura M. Thompson 😨   U.S. Geological Survey, National Climate Adaptation Science Center   University of Tennessee, Department of Forestry, Wildlife and Fisheries, Knowlie, TN. E-mail: Ithompson@usgs.gov	Douglas Limpinsel   NDAA Fisheries, Alaska Region, Habitar Conservation Division, Anchorage, AK
	Robert T. Maglil   Coconino County Parks and Recreation, Arizona Game and Fish Department, Flagstaff, AZ
Ablgall J. Lynch 🥹   U.S. Geological Survey, National Climate - Adaptation Science Center, Reston, VA	Tracy A. Mehvin   Michigan State University, Department of Experies and Wildlife Fast Lansing MI
Erik A. Beever   U.S. Geological Survey, Northern Rocky Mountain Science Center, Bozeman, MT   Montana State	John M. Morton   U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Kenai National Wildlife Refuge, Soldotna, AK (retired)
University, Department of Ecology, Bozeman, MI Augustin C. Engman 😳   University of Tennessee,	Robert A. Newman   University of North Dakota, Departmen of Biology, Grand Forks, ND
Jeffrey A. Falke   U.S. Geological Survey, Alaska Cooperative	Jay O. Peterson   NOAA Fisheries, Office of Science and Technology, Silver Spring, MD
For any windle Resolution ( ) where say to Adobat Entranks, Fairbanks, AK Stephen T. Jackson   U.S. Geological Survey, Southwest and South Central Climate Adaptation Science Centers, Reston, V   University of Arizona, Department of Geosciences and	Mark T. Porath   Nebraska Game and Parks Commission,
	Lincoln, NE Frank J. Rahel Difference in the line of
School of Natural Resources and Environment, Tucson, AZ	Suresh A. Sethi U.S. Geological Survey, New York
Trevor J. Krabbenhoft   University at Buffalo, Department of Biological Sciences and RENEW Institute, Buffalo, NY	Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, Cornell University Ithaca, NY
David J. Lawrence   National Park Service, Climate Change Response Program, Fort Collins, CO	Jennifer L. Wilkening   U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Southern Nevada Fish and Wildlife Office, Las Vegas, NV
Before and after photos of a coral bleaching event in American S	amoa. Photo credit: The Ocean Agency/XI, Catlin Seaview Survey.
The article has been 8 FERRERS   Vol. 46 • No. 1 • January 2021	consultated to by US Government employees and their work is in the public domain in the US INCE: 10.1000/bit.1051

Thompson et al. 2020





#### NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

#### Schuurman et al. 2020

#### Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment

```
Concepts and Questions 🖞 Open Access 🐵 🖲 🕤 😒
```

Managing for RADical ecosystem change: applying the Resist-Accept-Direct (RAD) framework

Abigail J Lynch 🕿, Laura M Thompson, Erik A Beever, David N Cole, Augustin C Engman, Cat Hawkins Hoffman, Stephen T Jackson, Trevor J Krabbenhoft, David J Lawrence ... See all authors 🖂

First published: 08 July 2021 | https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2377

👮 PDF 🔧 TOOLS \prec SHARE

#### Abstract

E SECTIONS

Ecosystem transformation involves the emergence of persistent ecological or socialecological systems that diverge, dramatically and irreversibly, from prior ecosystem structure and function. Such transformations are occurring at increasing rates across the planet in response to changes in climate, land use, and other factors. Consequently, a dynamic view of ecosystem processes that accommodates rapid, irreversible change will be critical for effectively conserving fish, wildlife, and other natural resources, and maintaining ecosystem structures. However, managing ecosystems toward states with novel structure and function is an inherently unpredictable and difficult task. Managers navigating ecosystem transformation can benefit from considering broader objectives, beyond a traditional focus on *resisting* ecosystem change, by also considering whether *accepting* inevitable change or *directing* it along some desirable pathway is more feasible (that is, practical and appropriate) under some circumstances (the RAD framework). By explicitly acknowledging transformation and implementing an iterative RAD approach, natural resource managers can be deliberate and strategic in addressing profound ecosystem change.

Lynch et al. 2021 Coming 6 July 2021

#### RAD special issue

In review



Responding to Ecosystem Transformation: Resist, Accept, or Direct?

Laura M. Thompson 🥹   U.S. Geological Survey, National Climate Adaptation Science Center   University of Tennessee, Department of Forestry, Wildlife and Fisheries, Knowille, TN. E-mail: ithompson@usgs.gov	Douglas Limpinsel   NOAA Fisheries, Alaska Region, Habitat Conservation Division, Anchorage, AK
	Robert T. Magill   Coconino County Parks and Recreation, Arizona Game and Fish Department, Flagstaff, AZ
Ablgall J. Lynch 🥹   U.S. Geological Survey, National Climate - Adaptation Science Center, Reston, VA	Tracy A. Mehrin   Michigan State University, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, East Lansing, MI
Erik A. Beever   U.S. Geological Survey, Northern Rocky Mountain Science Center, Bozeman, MT   Montana State	John M. Morton   U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Kenai National Wildlife Refuge, Soldorna, AK (retired)
University, Department of Ecology, Bozeman, MI Augustin C. Engman	Robert A. Newman University of North Dakota, Department of Biology, Grand Forks, ND
Jeffrey A. Falke   U.S. Geological Survey, Alaska Cooperative	Jay O. Peterson   NOAA Fisheries, Office of Science and Technology, Silver Spring, MD
Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK	Mark T. Porath   Nebraska Game and Parks Commission,
Stephen T. Jackson   U.S. Geological Survey, Southwest and South Central Climate Adaptation Science Centers, Reston, VA   University of Arizona. Department of Geosciences and	Lincoln, NE Frank J. Rahel Difference of Wyoming, Department of Zoology and Physiology, Laramie, WY
School of Natural Resources and Environment, Tucson, AZ	Suresh A. Sethi   U.S. Geological Survey, New York
Trevor J. Krabbenhoft   University at Buffalo, Department of Biological Sciences and RENEW Institute, Buffalo, NY	Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, Cornell Universit Ithaca, NY
David J. Lawrence   National Park Service, Climate Change Response Program, Fort Collins, CO	Jennifer L. Wilkening   U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Southern Nevada Fish and Wildlife Office, Las Vegas, NV
Before and after photos of a coral bleaching event in American Sa	amoa, Photo credit: The Ocean Agency/XI, Catlin Seaview Survey.
	© 2020 American Fadorico Society
This aratic has been	constituted to by US Covernment employees and their work is in the public domain in the USS 1978, or second-k autor
B FISHERIES   Vol. 40 • No. 1 • January 2021	

Thompson et al. 2020





Lynch et al. 2021 Coming 6 July 2021



In review



Schuurman et al. 2020

Special issue, in review

 Schuurman, G., Cole, D., Cravens, A.E., Covington, S., Crausbay, S., Hawkins Hoffman, C., Lawrence, D., Magness, D., Morton, J., Nelson, L., O'Malley, R. Navigating ecological transformation: Resist-Accept-Direct (RAD) as a path to a new resource management paradigm.

## Paper 1: RAD for navigating ecological transformation



Resist Direct Intensity of intervention Accept Deviation from historical conditions

Schuurman et al. in press.

Special issue, in review

- Schuurman, G., Cole, D., Cravens, A.E., Covington, S., Crausbay, S., Hawkins Hoffman, C., Lawrence, D., Magness, D., Morton, J., Nelson, L., O'Malley, R. Navigating ecological transformation: Resist-Accept-Direct (RAD) as a path to a new resource management paradigm.
- Magness, D.R., Hoang, L., Belote, R. T., Brennan, J., Carr, W., Chapin III, F. S., Clifford, K. R., Morrison, W., Morton, J. M., Sofaer, H. R. Management foundations for navigating ecological transformation by resisting, accepting, or directing social-ecological change.

Paper 2: Applying the RAD framework

- **Four Foundations** that enable a transition to future-oriented management
- identify plausible social-ecological trajectories
- apply upstream and deliberative engagement and decision-making with stakeholders
- formulate management pathways to desired futures
- consider a portfolio approach across space and time





Special issue, in review

- Schuurman, G., Cole, D., Cravens, A.E., Covington, S., Crausbay, S., Hawkins Hoffman, C., Lawrence, D., Magness, D., Morton, J., Nelson, L., O'Malley, R. Navigating ecological transformation: Resist-Accept-Direct (RAD) as a path to a new resource management paradigm.
- 2. Magness, D.R., Hoang, L., Belote, R. T., Brennan, J., Carr, W., Chapin III, F. S., Clifford, K. R., Morrison, W., Morton, J. M., Sofaer, H. R. Management foundations for navigating ecological transformation by resisting, accepting, or directing social-ecological change.
- Lynch, A. J., Thompson, L. M., Morton, J. M., Beever, E. A., Clifford, M., Limpinsel, D., Magill, R. T., Magness, D. R., Melvin, T. A., Newman, R. A., Porath, M. T., Rahel, F. J., Reynolds, J. H., Schuurman, G. W., Sethi, S. A., Wilkening, J. L. RAD adaptive management for transforming ecosystems.

## Paper 3: RAD adaptive management

- When the system state is **stable**:
  - Traditional **adaptive management** double loop.
- When the **system** state is **changing**:
  - RAD decisions come into play.





Lynch et al. in review. Preliminary Information-Subject to Revision. Not for Citation or Distribution.

Special issue, in review

- Schuurman, G., Cole, D., Cravens, A.E., Covington, S., Crausbay, S., Hawkins Hoffman, C., Lawrence, D., Magness, D., Morton, J., Nelson, L., O'Malley, R. Navigating ecological transformation: Resist-Accept-Direct (RAD) as a path to a new resource management paradigm.
- Magness, D.R., Hoang, L., Belote, R. T., Brennan, J., Carr, W., Chapin III, F. S., Clifford, K. R., Morrison, W., Morton, J. M., Sofaer, H. R. Management foundations for navigating ecological transformation by resisting, accepting, or directing social-ecological change.
- Lynch, A. J., Thompson, L. M., Morton, J. M., Beever, E. A., Clifford, M., Limpinsel, D., Magill, R. T., Magness, D. R., Melvin, T. A., Newman, R. A., Porath, M. T., Rahel, F. J., Reynolds, J. H., Schuurman, G. W., Sethi, S. A., Wilkening, J. L. RAD adaptive management for transforming ecosystems.
- 4. Clifford, K.R., Cravens, A.E., Knapp, C. Responding to ecological transformation: Mental models, external constraints, and manager decision-making.

# Paper 4: Mental models, constraints, & RAD decision making

- Managers make different RAD decisions <u>even</u> when presented with the same information in the same place (Clifford et al. 2020, Environ. Management)
- RAD decisions result from intersection of:
  - Internal factors i.e., managers' mental models
  - External factors i.e., understanding of the science, institutional context, and social feasibility



**Science for a changing world** 

Clifford et. al., in revision. Responding to ecological transformation: Mental models, external constraints and manager decision-making. Preliminary Information-Subject to Revision. Not for Citation or Distribution. 89

Special issue, in review

- Schuurman, G., Cole, D., Cravens, A.E., Covington, S., Crausbay, S., Hawkins Hoffman, C., Lawrence, D., Magness, D., Morton, J., Nelson, L., O'Malley, R. Navigating ecological transformation: Resist-Accept-Direct (RAD) as a path to a new resource management paradigm.
- Magness, D.R., Hoang, L., Belote, R. T., Brennan, J., Carr, W., Chapin III, F. S., Clifford, K. R., Morrison, W., Morton, J. M., Sofaer, H. R. Management foundations for navigating ecological transformation by resisting, accepting, or directing social-ecological change.
- Lynch, A. J., Thompson, L. M., Morton, J. M., Beever, E. A., Clifford, M., Limpinsel, D., Magill, R. T., Magness, D. R., Melvin, T. A., Newman, R. A., Porath, M. T., Rahel, F. J., Reynolds, J. H., Schuurman, G. W., Sethi, S. A., Wilkening, J. L. RAD adaptive management for transforming ecosystems.
- 4. Clifford, K.R., Cravens, A.E., Knapp, C. Responding to ecological transformation: Mental models, external constraints, and manager decision-making.
- 5. Crausbay, S., Sofaer, H.R., Cravens, A.E., Chaffin, B., Clifford, K., Gross, J.E., Lawrence, D.J., Knapp, C., Magness, D.R., Miller-Rushing, A., Schuurman, G.W., Stevens-Rumann, C. A science agenda to support natural resource management decisions in an era of ecological transformation.



Revision.

### Paper 5: Ecological transformation science agenda

Crausbay et al. *in review.* Preliminary Information-Subject to Revision.





### What next?

# Scaling up

- Compiling/sharing case studies
- Social dimensions
- Science needs
- Sustaining federal collaboration



## Thank you!

For more: usgs.gov/casc/rad